ORDER SHEET

West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

&

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. RA 10 of 2019 (OA 1154 of 2016)

	Case 110. KA 10 01 2019	(OA 115+ 01 2010	21
The Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal & Anr. Versus		Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2		3
08 08/07/2020	Mr. G.P. Mr. B.P. Mr. S. G	Advocate General Banerjee, Roy,	
	For the Respondent No. 1		
	& 3 : Sardar A Learned Masum A	Amjad Ali, Senior Advocate. Ali Sardar, Advocate.	
	Mr. S. Da Mr. B. B	<u> </u>	
	For the Respondent No. 2A:		
	Mr. B.R. Learned Mr. F. Sa	Bhattacharya, Senior Advocate. amim, Advocates.	
	The judgment in separate sheets consisting of fifteen		
	pages is pronounced today and the same is kept with the record.		
	Learned Advocate General has sought the leave of the Tribunal immediately before pronouncement of the		
	indoment for submitting an applied	tion praving for	
	judgment for submitting an application praying for consideration of the judgment delivered by Learned Division Bench of the Delhi High Court in "Hitesh Bharadwaj v. Ministry of Finance, Union of India &		

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

The Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal

Vs

Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal

Case No. RA 10 of 2019 (OA-1154 of 2016)				
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature		Office action with date and dated signature of	
1	2	-	parties when necessary.	
-	Another" (CM Appeal 11606 of 2020 arising fr	rom WP(C)		
	3308 of 2020). According to Learned Advocate General,			
	the Learned Division Bench of the Delhi Cour	rt has laid		
	down the correct position of law with regard to p	payment of		
	DA to the employees of the Government. What	transpires		
	from the unreported judgment is that the judg	gment was		
	pronounced by Learned Division Bench on Jur	ne 1, 2020		
	which was long after pronouncement of our ju	dgment on		
	July 26, 2019 in OA 1154 of 2016. We canno	t persuade		
	ourselves to consider a judgment of the Higher	Forum for		
	review of our judgment in OA 1154 of 2016,	which was		
	pronounced long after pronouncement of our	judgment.		
	Accordingly, we cannot persuade ourselves to co	onsider the		
	judgment cited by Learned Advocate General for	r review of		
	the judgment pronounced by us on July 26, 20	019 in OA		
	1154 of 2016.			
	We are, thus, unable to grant leave for	filing the		
	application by Learned Advocate General for cite	ation of the		
	judgment delivered by Learned Division Bench o	f the Delhi		
	High Court.			
	S. K. DAS R. K.	BAG		
Rajib	MEMBER(A) MEMBI	ER(J)		